# Naburn Church of England Primary School Small School, Big Ideas!



# **Pupil Premium Review Self-Evaluation Form**

1. Summary information								
Staff member completing this form:	Jonathan Green	Signature:	Me	Date:	26.8.21			
Year:	2021-2022	Total PPG budget:	£2690	Date of most recent PPG review:	25.8.21			
Total number of pupils:	52	Number of pupils eligible for PPG:	2	Date for next internal strategy review:	Monthly			

## 2. Current attainment

	Pupils eligible for the PPG in the school	National average
% of pupils achieving expected standard or above in reading, writing, and mathematics		
% of pupils making expected progress in reading (as measured in the school)	Due to the small cohort sizes at Naburn Primary, and the very small number of children eligible for the	
% of pupils making expected progress in writing (as measured in the school)	PP grant, this data is suppressed for confidentiality reasons	
% of pupils making expected progress in mathematics (as measured in the school)	,	

## 3. Barriers to future attainment

Note: due to the very small number of children at Naburn CE Primary who qualify for this grant, some published details are kept as general as possible in order to ensure confidentiality.

### **Academic barriers**

[Identify barriers that need to be addressed in-school, as well as external factors such as home learning environment and low attendance.]

- A Limited connectivity at Traveller site and in the village a challenge to Home Learning.
- B Parents are engaged and want their children to succeed but do not have the academic skill to support them at home
- C The children sometimes lack resilience and can be easily put off if they find their learning challenging.

## **Additional barriers**

**D** Lack of funding for such a small group of children

## 4. Intended outcomes

Outcome	Success criteria
All disadvantaged children make the progress identified in pupil progress meetings and reach the potential discussed.	Data will show aspirational targets set and achieved by disadvantaged pupils.
Pupils access extra-curricular and enrichment opportunities with funding not being a barrier to their involvement.	All pupils involved in activities.
Children joining the school will be well supported in terms of transition and unique issues	Pupil voice, parental voice
Parents will feel better supported, improved family engagement in school community	Headteacher meetings, Parental voice

5. Review of expenditure								
Quality of teaching for all								
Action	Intended outcome(s)	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for the PPG, if appropriate)	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue this approach)	Cost (£)				
ALL children have access to excellent quality wave one teaching.  Cost – from our core funding	Ensure that PP children are exposed to quality teaching every day and that differences between the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils and others diminish further	Good end of KS2 Assessments (No SATs in this academic year) Very weak end of year results in all other cohorts.	Much tighter monitoring to be carried out at PPP Meetings. Start of year staff meetings to ensure plan in place to support all children and ensure no learning/progress slippage	Cost from core funding				
		Targeted support						
Action	Intended outcome(s)	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for the PPG, if appropriate)	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue this approach)	Cost (£)				
PP Children to benefit from extra-curricular Core and foundation subject activities (e.g. Maths Clubs and Sports Clubs)	Greater engagement and enthusiasm for school and learning	Attendance of Clubs was excellent. Children highly motivated	Will continue next academic year.	Activities led by Headteacher				

#### 6. Planned expenditure **Quality of teaching for all** What is the evidence How will you ensure When will you review Intended outcome(s) it is effectively Staff lead Action and rationale for this implementation? choice?\* implemented? Ensure that PP children are exposed ALL children have to quality teaching access to excellent EEF research every day and that PPP Meetings. quality wave one highlights this as regular meetings with differences between HT July '22 teaching. being the most KS1 and KS2 leaders the outcomes (every two weeks) for disadvantaged effective approach Cost - from our core pupils and others funding diminish further Mastery learning in Maths through the Track progress and EEF research use of the White Aspirational targets indicates +5 attainment in maths Regular progress HT Rose Maths with KS1 and KS2 set and achieved months learning meetings resources gains leaders Cost – from our core funding Feedback to families Monitor parents EEF research Parental attending meetings Headteacher indicates +4 engagement, families and insist on HT July 22 meetings months learning Cost - from our core feel supported attendance within gains fundina reason • EEF research refers to EEF Teaching and Learning Toolkit, where learning gains are estimated in terms of additional months

progress you might expect pupils to make as a result of an approach being used in school.

Total budgeted cost (£):	Core	Funding	-	White	Rose	Maths	Scheme/Test	Base
Total budgeted cost (2).	subsc	ription						

Targeted support							
Action	Intended outcome(s)	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is effectively implemented?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
PP Children to benefit from extra-curricular Core and foundation subject activities (e.g. Maths Clubs and Sports Clubs)	Greater engagement and enthusiasm for school and learning	Ongoing success of previous years. EEF research highlights impact.	Continued monitoring	НТ	July 22		
Total budgeted cost (£):			£2690				

## 7. Additional detail

[Use this section to annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above.]